

Petition for the Removal of Justices Matlow, Kent and Aston from the Bench

We the undersigned support the Complaint dated May 12, 2012 made to the Canadian Judicial Council in respect of Justices P.T. Matlow, J.C. Kent, and D.R. Aston for their removal from the Bench, including for:

- Engaging in judicial misconduct of deliberately undermining the Rule of Law, and furthermore to protect a judge’s spouse by avoiding judicial review of his decision or award, which could not survive such review under law;
- In failing to act in the due execution of the function of the judges, engaging in an abuse of office, and/or in acting with incompetence in doing so, for example:
- On the one hand they refused judicial review on the basis that the decisions/awards were ‘private’ and not ‘public’, although the decision/awards dealt with public decisions concerning harassment and discrimination, by purporting to change the entire basis of administrative judicial review of public decision in Canada without actually having had stated what that basis was and overruling it, and/or acting without jurisdiction and abusing their office in not abiding by applicably constitutionally valid legislation, which states the decisions are public and the employer had a public duty with respect to issues of harassment and discrimination;
- On the other hand, these judges ignored two out of three grounds for review, namely, under the common law and Arbitration Act, 1991, involving consensual arbitrators, which apply to “private” matters, agreements, and decisions/awards; and
- Making unwarranted, false, and made-up attacks pertaining to the Applicant and her lawyer. The purpose appeared to be to impose significant costs against the Applicant and her lawyer for litigating against a judge’s spouse, and to prejudice the Applicant so that her requests for leave to appeal would be rejected and thereby to shield their improper decision, as well as the serious errors in the decision/award of the judge’s spouse.

This conduct has every appearance of corruption, is so destructive of the concept of impartiality, integrity and independence of the judicial role, that public confidence would be sufficiently undermined to render the judges incapable of executing the judicial office.

Name (printed)	Signature	Address	Email

Please return to: C. E. Taucar, 235 Bloor St. E., Suite 514, Toronto, Ontario, M4W 3Y3 at your earliest convenience. (Petition to be used as part of the legal proceedings concerning these judges).